Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,184	HOANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan W. Schlientz	1616
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) Nathan W. Schlientz.	(3)	
(2) Kirk M. Miles.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 November 2008	Time: <u>2:00</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 8 and 15		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Nathan W Schlientz/ Examiner, Art Unit 1616	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/550,184

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called attorney Miles to discuss a supplemental examiner's amendment to change the dependency of claim 8 from being dependent on claim 1, which is cancelled, to being dependent from claim 15. Also, attorney Miles requested that the percentage range for component (g) in claim 15 be changed from "about 0.3% to about 5%" to "about 0.03% to about 5%", which is supported in the specification at paragraph[0020]..